IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)
TUESDAY, THE TWENTY SECOND DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR
PRESENT
THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA
WRIT PETITION NO : 10192 of 2004

Between:
1 Byri Yadava Reddy, S/o. Achhi Reddy,
R/o. H.No. 6-2-41, Kakaji Colony, Hanamakonda, Warangal District.
2 Matta Srinivas Reddy, S/o. Buchhi Reddy,
R/o. H.No. 6-2-41, Kakaji Colony, Hanamakonda, Warangal District.

.....
PETITIONER(S)

AND

1 The State of A.P. represented by its Secretary, Municipal Administration, Secretariat Buildings,
Hyderabad.
2 The Commissioner, Municipal Corporation of Warangal, Warangal District.

....RESPONDENT(S)

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to to issue an appropriate writ, order or direction, more particularly a Writ in the nature of Mandamus, declaring that the inaction of the 2nd respondent in not mutating petitioners names by transfer of registry of ownership of property in Assessment books Rules, 1966, and in not substitution or subrogating the petitioners' names in the sanctioned building plan of their vendor and their prodecessor-in-interest as per Chapter IV of the A.P. Municipality Act, 1965 or the rules made thereunder, cr Schedule III of the Act, as being illegal, arbitrary, besides being violative of Art 14 and 300-A of the constitution of India, apart from various provisions of the A.P. Municipalities Act, 1965 and also in view of a catena of decisions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court, as well as this Hon'ble Court, and pass

For the Petitioner: MR.P.SUDHEER REDDY , Advocate

For the Respondent No1.: GP FOR MUNCIPAL ADMN. & URBAN DEV.

For the Respondent NO.2: Mr. K. Venugopal Reddy, Advocate And S.C. for Municipal Corporation

The Court Made the Following :

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

W.P.NO. 10192 of 2004

ORDER

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners, the learned Government Pleader for Municipal Administration for Respondent No.1 and the learned Standing Counsel for the respondent No.2-Municipal Corporation.

The only grievance of the petitioners is that though they have made representation dated 17-2-2004 to respondent No.2- Municipal Corporation, seeking mutation and substitution of their names by transfer of registry of ownership of the properties in question in the assessment books, neither mutation has been effected nor any orders thereon have been passed. Hence, she seeks appropriate directions to the respondents.

Inasmuch as the representation dated 17-2-2004 of the petitioners, is stated to be still pending before Respondent No.2, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the Writ Petition with the following directions.

Respondent No.2 is directed to consider and dispose of the representation, said to have been made by the petitioners on 17-2-2004, seeking mutation and substitution of their names by transfer of

Registry of ownership of the properties in question in the assessment books, expeditiously, provided the same is accompanied by requisite fee and materials.

The writ petition is accordingly disposed of. No costs.

Sd/- XXX
ASST. REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

To
1.The State of Andhra Pradesh, rep.
By its Secretary, Municipal Administration,
Secretariat Buildings, Hyderabad
2. The Commissioner, Municipal Corporation of Warangal,
Warangal District
2.2CD copies to the Government Pleader for Municipal
Administration & Urban Development,